

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS.....	xi
GLOSSARY	xv
SUMMARY	xix
1. PURPOSE OF AND NEED FOR THE AGENCY ACTION	1-1
1.1 INTRODUCTION	1-1
1.2 CLEAN COAL POWER INITIATIVE	1-1
1.3 PROPOSED ACTION	1-2
1.4 PURPOSE AND NEED.....	1-3
1.4.1 Commercial Demonstration	1-4
1.4.2 Cost-Effective Integration.....	1-5
1.5 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT STRATEGY	1-5
1.6 SCOPE OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT	1-7
1.7 APPROACHES AND ASSUMPTIONS	1-10
2. THE PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES.....	2-1
2.1 PROPOSED ACTION	2-1
2.1.1 Project Location and Background.....	2-1
2.1.2 Technology and Project Description.....	2-4
2.1.2.1 Coal Preparation and Feeding.....	2-7
2.1.2.2 Gasifier.....	2-8
2.1.2.3 High Temperature Synthesis Gas Cooling.....	2-10
2.1.2.4 Particulate Removal	2-10
2.1.2.5 Low Temperature Gas Cooling and Mercury Removal.....	2-11
2.1.2.6 Sulfur Removal and Recovery	2-11
2.1.2.7 Sour Water Treatment and Ammonia Recovery	2-11
2.1.2.8 Flare	2-12
2.1.3 Construction Plans	2-14
2.1.4 Operational Plans	2-14
2.1.5 Resource Requirements.....	2-15
2.1.5.1 Land Area Requirements	2-15
2.1.5.2 Water Requirements.....	2-18
2.1.5.3 Fuel and Other Material Requirements	2-20
2.1.6 Outputs, Discharges, and Wastes	2-20
2.1.6.1 Air Emissions	2-20
2.1.6.2 Liquid Discharges	2-22
2.1.6.3 Solid Wastes	2-24
2.1.6.4 Toxic and Hazardous Materials	2-27
2.2 RELATED ACTION	2-27
2.3 ALTERNATIVES.....	2-30
2.3.1 No-Action Alternative.....	2-30
2.3.2 Alternatives Dismissed from Further Consideration.....	2-31

2.3.2.1	Alternative Site	2-31
2.3.2.2	Alternative Configuration	2-32
2.3.2.3	Alternative Size.....	2-32
2.3.2.4	Alternative Technologies	2-32
3.	EXISTING ENVIRONMENT.....	3-1
3.1	SITE DESCRIPTION, LAND USE AND AESTHETICS	3-1
3.1.1	Site of the Proposed Facilities.....	3-1
3.1.2	Land Use	3-1
3.1.3	Aesthetics	3-2
3.2	CLIMATE AND AIR QUALITY.....	3-2
3.2.1	Climate	3-2
3.2.2	Air Quality	3-5
3.3	GEOLOGY AND SOILS.....	3-8
3.3.1	Physiography.....	3-8
3.3.2	Stratigraphy and Structure.....	3-9
3.3.3	Soils	3-9
3.3.4	Geologic Hazards	3-9
3.4	WATER RESOURCES	3-10
3.4.1	Surface Water.....	3-10
3.4.2	Groundwater	3-12
3.4.3	Water Supply.....	3-15
3.5	FLOODPLAINS AND WETLANDS	3-16
3.5.1	Floodplains.....	3-16
3.5.2	Wetlands.....	3-16
3.6	ECOLOGICAL RESOURCES	3-20
3.6.1	Terrestrial Ecology.....	3-20
3.6.2	Aquatic Ecology.....	3-22
3.6.3	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	3-23
3.6.4	Biodiversity	3-25
3.7	SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC RESOURCES	3-25
3.7.1	Population	3-25
3.7.2	Employment and Income	3-26
3.7.3	Housing	3-27
3.7.4	Public Services	3-28
3.7.4.1	Water and Wastewater Services.....	3-28
3.7.4.2	Police Protection	3-28
3.7.4.3	Fire Protection and Emergency Medical Services	3-28
3.7.4.4	Schools.....	3-28
3.7.4.5	Health Care	3-29
3.7.5	Local Government Funds and Expenditures	3-29
3.7.6	Environmental Justice	3-31
3.7.7	Transportation	3-32
3.7.7.1	Roads.....	3-32
3.7.7.2	Railways.....	3-34
3.7.8	Cultural Resources	3-34

3.8	WASTE MANAGEMENT	3-36
3.9	HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY	3-37
3.9.1	Air Quality and Public Health.....	3-37
3.9.1.1	Background	3-37
3.9.1.2	Asthma	3-38
3.9.2	Electromagnetic Fields.....	3-41
3.9.2.1	Background	3-41
3.9.2.2	Health Implications	3-42
3.9.2.3	Regulatory Requirements.....	3-43
3.9.2.4	Existing Conditions.....	3-43
3.9.3	Worker Health and Safety	3-43
3.9.3.1	Safety Management.....	3-43
3.9.3.2	Occupational Illnesses, Injuries, and Fatalities	3-45
3.9.3.3	Onsite Hazard Areas	3-46
3.10	NOISE	3-47
3.10.1	Background	3-47
3.10.2	Regulatory Requirements.....	3-49
3.10.3	Ambient Noise Levels.....	3-49
4.	ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES	4-1
4.1	PROPOSED ACTION	4-1
4.1.1	Land Use and Aesthetics	4-1
4.1.1.1	Land Use	4-1
4.1.1.2	Aesthetics	4-1
4.1.2	Atmospheric Resources and Air Quality.....	4-2
4.1.2.1	Construction.....	4-3
4.1.2.2	Operation.....	4-5
4.1.3	Geology and Soils	4-21
4.1.4	Water Resources.....	4-22
4.1.4.1	Surface Water.....	4-22
4.1.4.2	Groundwater.....	4-23
4.1.5	Floodplains and Wetlands Assessment	4-24
4.1.5.1	Floodplains.....	4-24
4.1.5.2	Wetlands Assessment.....	4-25
4.1.6	Ecological Resources	4-28
4.1.6.1	Terrestrial Ecology.....	4-28
4.1.6.2	Aquatic Ecology.....	4-30
4.1.6.3	Threatened and Endangered Species.....	4-30
4.1.6.4	Biodiversity	4-32
4.1.7	Social and Economic Resources	4-33
4.1.7.1	Population	4-34
4.1.7.2	Employment and Income	4-35
4.1.7.3	Housing	4-36
4.1.7.4	Public Services.....	4-37
4.1.7.5	Local Government Funds and Expenditures	4-38
4.1.7.6	Environmental Justice	4-38

4.1.7.7	Transportation	4-39
4.1.7.8	Cultural Resources	4-42
4.1.8	Waste Management	4-42
4.1.8.1	Construction	4-42
4.1.8.2	Operation	4-43
4.1.9	Human Health and Safety	4-46
4.1.9.1	Air Quality and Public Health	4-46
4.1.9.2	Hazardous Material Releases, Fires, and Explosions	4-51
4.1.9.3	Electromagnetic Fields	4-53
4.1.9.4	Worker Health and Safety	4-54
4.1.10	Noise	4-55
4.1.10.1	Construction	4-55
4.1.10.2	Operation	4-57
4.2	POLLUTION PREVENTION AND MITIGATION MEASURES	4-58
4.3	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS OF NO ACTION	4-58
5.	IMPACTS OF COMMERCIAL OPERATION	5-1
6.	CUMULATIVE EFFECTS	6-1
7.	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	7-1
7.1	FEDERAL REQUIREMENTS	7-1
7.2	STATE REQUIREMENTS	7-5
7.3	LOCAL REQUIREMENTS	7-6
8.	IRREVERSIBLE OR IRRETRIEVABLE COMMITMENTS OF RESOURCES	8-1
9.	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SHORT-TERM USES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND LONG-TERM PRODUCTIVITY	9-1
10.	REFERENCES	10-1
11.	LIST OF PREPARERS	11-1
APPENDIX A	CONSULTATION LETTER UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT	A-1
APPENDIX B	CONSULTATION LETTER UNDER SECTION 106 OF THE NATIONAL HISTORIC PRESERVATION ACT	B-1
APPENDIX C	ORGANIZATIONAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT	C-1
APPENDIX D	AIR QUALITY IMPACT ANALYSIS METHODOLOGY	D-1
APPENDIX E	HAZARD ANALYSIS OF ANHYDROUS AMMONIA TRUCK ACCIDENT	E-1

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1.1	Location of the Stanton Energy Center in eastern central Florida.	2-2
2.1.2	Aerial photograph of the Stanton Energy Center site and surrounding area	2-3
2.1.3	Process flow diagram of the proposed project and its integration with the combined-cycle unit.	2-5
2.1.4	Computerized drawing of the proposed facilities superimposed on a photograph of the existing Unit A taken from the direction of the existing Units 1 and 2	2-7
2.1.5	Side view of a gasifier	2-9
2.1.6	Two photographs of multipoint flare systems similar to that planned for the proposed project	2-13
2.1.7	Preliminary layout of the proposed facilities within the Stanton Energy Center site.	2-17
2.1.8	Simplified water balance diagram for the proposed facilities	2-19
2.2.1	Schematic of the combined-cycle system, including the gas combustion turbine, steam turbine, heat recovery steam generator (HRSG), and other key components	2-29
3.2.1	Wind rose for Orlando International Airport, located about 8 miles southwest of the Stanton Energy Center, for the period 1996–2000	3-4
3.5.1	Vegetation and land cover for the Stanton Energy Center site and immediately surrounding area	3-17
3.7.1	Planned route of the Avalon Park Boulevard extension (yellow lines)	3-33
3.7.2	Location of archaeological sites within the boundaries of the Stanton Energy Center (OR 255, OR 256, OR 383, and OR 384)	3-35
3.9.1	Asthma trends in the United States and Florida	3-39
3.9.2	Recent hospitalization rates in Orange County and Florida due to asthma	3-39
3.9.3	The electromagnetic spectrum	3-42
3.9.4	Location of electrical transmission lines (dashed yellow lines) and substation (solid yellow rectangle) on the Stanton Energy Center site and in the immediate vicinity	3-44
3.10.1	Locations at which noise levels were measured (August 16 and 17, 2005)	3-50
4.1.1	Mercury wet deposition measured in 2004	4-19
4.1.2	Land use/cover types, including wetland categories within the proposed transmission corridor	4-26
D.1	Buildings used in the downwash analysis	D-8
D.2	Near-field receptor grid	D-9
D.3	Full receptor grid	D-10
D.4	Existing land use on Stanton site and surroundings as of 2000	D-12
E.1	Downwind area associated with toxic threat zone predicted by the ALOHA model	E-5

LIST OF TABLES

S.1	Comparison of key potential impacts between the proposed facilities and the no-action alternative	xxxvii
1.6.1	Issues identified for consideration in the environmental impact statement.....	1-9
2.1.1	Expected operating characteristics of the proposed Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) project and the existing units at the Stanton Energy Center	2-16
2.1.2	Analysis of the composition of subbituminous coal expected to be received for the proposed Orlando Gasification Project	2-21
2.1.3	Estimates of air pollutant emissions from proposed HRSG stack.....	2-23
2.1.4	Expected characteristics of gasification ash generated by the proposed Orlando Gasification Project	2-25
3.2.1	Summary of air quality data in Orange County for 2000–04	3-6
3.2.2	Allowable increments for Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) of air quality	3-8
3.4.1	Water quality data for the Stanton Energy Center makeup pond (January 2004–April 2005)...	3-12
3.6.1	Threatened and endangered species documented on or near the Stanton Energy Center site	3-24
3.7.1	Population data for the city of Orlando and Orange County	3-25
3.7.2	Employment estimates by industry or economic sector in Orange County in 2004	3-26
3.7.3	Housing data for Orange County.....	3-27
3.7.4	Projected funds in Orange County’s fiscal year 2005 budget	3-29
3.7.5	Projected expenditures in Orange County’s fiscal year 2005 budget.....	3-30
3.7.6	Taxes paid by Southern Company Florida, LLC, in Orange County in 2004.....	3-30
3.7.7	Environmental justice data for the United States, Florida, Orange County, and seven census tracts near the Stanton Energy Center.....	3-31
3.9.1	Orange County chronic disease profile	3-40
3.9.2	Recordable occupational injury and illness rates for the United States and Florida (2003).....	3-45
3.9.3	Number of fatal occupational injuries (2003)	3-46
3.10.1	Common noise sources, sound levels, and human responses.....	3-48
3.10.2	Ambient noise survey results (August 16 and 17, 2005).....	3-51
4.1.1	Maximum predicted ambient air pollutant concentrations due to emissions from the proposed facilities compared to significant impact levels.....	4-8
4.1.2	Ambient air quality standards impact analysis for combined effects of the modeled proposed facilities added to ambient background concentrations measuring existing sources....	4-9
4.1.3	Location, stack parameters, and emission rate used as input for AERMOD mercury deposition analysis	4-14
4.1.4	Land use categories selected for AERMOD modeling	4-15
4.1.5	Physical characteristics of reactive gaseous divalent mercury (RGM)	4-16
4.1.6	AERMOD model results—estimated reactive gaseous divalent mercury (RGM) concentration and deposition for the proposed IGCC facilities	4-18
4.1.7	Potential employment related to construction and demonstration of the proposed facilities	4-33
4.1.8	Potential population growth related to construction and demonstration of the proposed facilities.....	4-34
4.1.9	Estimates of annual mortality due to average annual increase in selected air pollutants	4-47

4.1.10	Lifetime years of lost life (YLL) and days of lost life (DLL) from an average annual increase in PM-2.5 concentration of 0.24 ug/m ³	4-49
4.1.11	Estimates of increases in annual morbidity effects due to estimated annual increase in particulate matter	4-49
4.1.12	Sound levels from loudest noise sources during construction activities	4-56
4.2.1	Pollution prevention and mitigation measures developed for the proposed facilities at the Stanton Energy Center	4-59
D.1	Building/structure dimensions.....	D-7
D.2	SCREEN3 model results—NO ₂ impacts: annual average operating conditions—IGCC HRSG	D-15
D.3	SCREEN3 model results—SO ₂ impacts— IGCC HRSG	D-16
D.4	SCREEN3 model results—PM-10 impacts—IGCC HRSG.....	D-17
D.5	SCREEN3 model results for CO impacts—IGCC HRSG	D-18
D.6	AERMOD model results—maximum annual average NO ₂ impacts	D-20
D.7	AERMOD model results—maximum annual average SO ₂ impacts	D-20
D.8	AERMOD model results—maximum 3-hour average SO ₂ impacts	D-21
D.9	AERMOD model results—maximum 24-hour average SO ₂ impacts	D-21
D.10	AERMOD model results—maximum annual average PM-10 impacts	D-22
D.11	AERMOD model results—maximum 24-hour average PM-10 impacts.....	D-23
D.12	AERMOD model results—maximum 8-hour average CO impacts	D-24
D.13	AERMOD model results—maximum 1-hour average CO impacts	D-25
D.14	Refined (AERMOD) modeling results—maximum criteria pollutant impacts	D-26
D.15	Refined (AERMOD) model results—toxic air pollutants; syngas	D-27
D.16	Refined (AERMOD) model results—toxic air pollutants; natural gas.....	D-28
E.1	ALOHA model input data for truck accident in Houston, Texas, May 11, 1976, as applied to the Orlando area.....	E-4
E.2	Toxic threat zone data, as presented in Figure E.1	E-5